Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)		
WorldCom, Inc.)	IC Nos.	01-S60209
)		02-S66750
Complaint Regarding)		02-S67944
Unauthorized Change of)		02-S68009
Subscriber's Telecommunications Carrier)		02-S68024
			02-S68098
			02-S69261
			02-S69307
			02-S69408
			02-S69444
			02-S69458
			02-S69738
			02-S70390
			02-S73491

ORDER

Adopted: March 26, 2003 Released: March 27, 2003

By the Deputy Chief, Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau:

- 1. In this Order, we consider the complaints filed by Complainants¹ alleging that WorldCom, Inc., changed Complainants' telecommunications service providers without obtaining authorization and verification from each Complainant in violation of the Commission's rules.² We conclude that WorldCom actions did result in an unauthorized change in Complainants' telecommunications service providers and we grant each Complainant's complaint.
- 2. In December 1998, the Commission released the *Section 258 Order* in which it adopted rules to implement Section 258 of the Communications Act of 1934 (Act), as amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (1996 Act).³ Section 258 prohibits the practice of

See Appendix A.

² See 47 C.F.R. §§ 64.1100 – 64.1190.

³ 47 U.S.C. § 258(a); Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996); Implementation of the Subscriber Carrier Selection Changes Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996; Policies and Rules Concerning Unauthorized Changes of Consumers' Long Distance Carriers, CC Docket (continued....)

"slamming," the submission or execution of an unauthorized change in a subscriber's selection of a provider of telephone exchange service or telephone toll service. In the *Section 258 Order*, the Commission adopted aggressive new rules designed to take the profit out of slamming, broadened the scope of the slamming rules to encompass all carriers, and modified its existing requirements for the authorization and verification of preferred carrier changes. The rules require, among other things, that a carrier receive individual subscriber consent before a carrier change may occur. Pursuant to Section 258, carriers are absolutely barred from changing a customer's preferred local or long distance carrier without first complying with one of the Commission's verification procedures. Specifically, a carrier must: (1) obtain the subscriber's written or electronically signed authorization in a format that meets the requirements of Section 64.1130 authorization; (2) obtain confirmation from the subscriber via a toll-free number provided exclusively for the purpose of confirming orders electronically; or (3) utilize an independent third party to verify the subscriber's order.

3. The Commission also has adopted liability rules. These rules require the carrier to absolve the subscriber where the subscriber has not paid his or her bill. In that context, if the subscriber has not already paid charges to the unauthorized carrier, the subscriber is absolved of liability for charges imposed by the unauthorized carrier for service provided during the first 30 days after the unauthorized change. Where the subscriber has paid charges to the unauthorized carrier, the Commission's rules require that the unauthorized carrier pay 150% of those charges to the authorized carrier, and the authorized carrier shall refund or credit to the subscriber 50% of

(Continued from previous page)

No. 94-129, Second Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making, 14 FCC Rcd 1508 (1998) (Section 258 Order), stayed in part, MCI WorldCom v. FCC, No. 99-1125 (D.C. Cir. May 18, 1999); First Order on Reconsideration, 15 FCC Rcd 8158 (2000); stay lifted, MCI WorldCom v. FCC, No. 99-1125 (D.C. Cir. June 27, 2000); Third Report and Order and Second Order on Reconsideration, 15 FCC Rcd 15996 (2000), Errata, DA No. 00-2163 (rel. Sept. 25, 2000), Erratum, DA No. 00-2192 (rel. Oct. 4, 2000), Order, FCC 01-67 (rel. Feb. 22, 2001); reconsideration pending. Prior to the adoption of Section 258, the Commission had taken various steps to address the slamming problem. See, e.g., Policies and Rules Concerning Unauthorized Changes of Consumers' Long Distance Carriers, CC Docket No. 94-129, Report and Order, 10 FCC Rcd 9560 (1995), stayed in part, 11 FCC Rcd 856 (1995); Policies and Rules Concerning Changing Long Distance Carriers, CC Docket No. 91-64, 7 FCC Rcd 1038 (1992), reconsideration denied, 8 FCC Rcd 3215 (1993); Investigation of Access and Divestiture Related Tariffs, CC Docket No. 83-1145, Phase I, 101 F.C.C.2d 911, 101 F.C.C.2d 935, reconsideration denied, 102 F.C.C.2d 503 (1985).

⁴ 47 U.S.C. § 258(a).

⁵ See 47 C.F.R. § 64.1120.

⁶ 47 U.S.C. § 258(a).

⁷ See 47 C.F.R. § 64.1120(c). Section 64.1130 details the requirements for letter of agency form and content for written or electronically signed authorizations. 47 C.F.R. § 64.1130.

See 47 C.F.R. §§ 64.1140, 64.1160. Any charges imposed by the unauthorized carrier on the subscriber for service provided after this 30-day period shall be paid by the subscriber to the authorized carrier at the rates the subscriber was paying to the authorized carrier at the time of the unauthorized change. *Id.*

all charges paid by the subscriber to the unauthorized carrier. ⁹ Carriers should note that our actions in this Order do not preclude the Commission from taking additional action, if warranted, pursuant to Section 503 of the Act. ¹⁰

- 4. We received Complainants' complaints alleging that Complainants' telecommunications service providers have been changed from their authorized carriers to WorldCom without Complainants' authorization. Pursuant to Sections 1.719 and 64.1150 of our rules, "I we notified WorldCom of the complaint and WorldCom responded. I In each case, WorldCom states that each Complainant authorized the change in their service. WorldCom's third party verifer, however, failed to obtain separate authorizations for each service sold to each Complaint as required by our rules. We find that WorldCom has failed to produce clear and convincing evidence that each Complainant authorized a carrier change. Therefore, we find that WorldCom's actions resulted in an unauthorized change in each of the Complainants' telecommunications service providers and we discuss WorldCom's liability below.
- 5. WorldCom must remove all charges incurred for service provided to Complainants for the first thirty days after the alleged unauthorized change in accordance with the Commission's liability rules. ¹⁷ We have determined that Complainants are entitled to absolution for the charges incurred during the first thirty days after the unauthorized change occurred and that neither Complainant's authorized carriers nor WorldCom may pursue any collection against Complainants for those charges. ¹⁸ Any charges imposed by WorldCom on the subscriber for

⁹ See 47 C.F.R. §§ 64.1140, 64.1170.

¹⁰ See 47 U.S.C. § 503.

⁴⁷ C.F.R. § 1.719 (Commission procedure for informal complaints filed pursuant to Section 258 of the Act); 47 C.F.R. § 64.1150 (procedures for resolution of unauthorized changes in preferred carrier).

See Appendix A.

See Appendix A.

¹⁴ See 47 C.F.R. § 64.1120(b).

¹⁵ See 47 C.F.R. § 64.1150(d).

If Complainant is unsatisfied with the resolution of this complaint, Complainant may file a formal complaint with the Commission pursuant to Section 1.721 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.721. Such filing will be deemed to relate back to the filing date of Complainant's informal complaint so long as the formal complaint is filed within 45 days from the date this order is mailed or delivered electronically to Complainant. *See* 47 C.F.R. § 1.719.

See 47 C.F.R. § 64.1160(b).

¹⁸ See 47 C.F.R. § 64.1160(d).

service provided after this 30-day period shall be paid by the subscribers to each Complainants' authorized carrier at the rates the subscriber was paying to the authorized carriers at the time of the unauthorized changes in Complainants' services.¹⁹

- 6. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to Section 258 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. § 258, and Sections 0.141, 0.361 and 1.719 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.141, 0.361, 1.719, the complaint filed by Complainants against WorldCom ARE GRANTED.
- 7. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to Section 64.1170(d) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 64.1170(d), Complainants are entitled to absolution for the charges incurred during the first thirty days after the unauthorized changes occurred and neither Complainants' authorized carriers nor WorldCom may pursue any collection against Complainants for those charges.
 - 8. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Order is effective upon release.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Margaret M. Egler, Deputy Chief Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau

¹⁹ See 47 C.F.R. §§ 64.1140, 64.1160.

APPENIDX

INFORMAL	DATE OF	DATE OF AUTHORIZED	
COMPLAINT	COMPLAINT	CARRIER	CARRIER
NUMBER		RESPONSE	
01-S60209	June 18, 2001	January 9, 2003	Not provided
02-S66750	December 18, 2001	March 28, 2002	Sprint
02-S67944	January 28, 2002	April 30, 2002	Ameritech
02-S68009	February 21, 2002	April 18, 2002	Ameritech and AT&T
02-S68024	January 18, 2002	April 18, 2002	Ameritech
02-S68098	February 27, 2002	April 30, 2002	Not provided
02 500070	1 001441 4 27, 2002	71pm 30, 2002	1 tot provided
02-S69261	February 28, 2002	May 1, 2002	WorldxChange
02-S69307	March 18, 2002	May 1, 2002	AT&T
02-S69408	February 22, 2002	May 9, 2002	Ameritech
		,	
02-S69444	February 26, 2002	May, 2002	AT&T
02-S69458	March 14, 2002	May 7, 2002	AT&T
02 507 150	11101011 1 1, 2002	11145 1, 2002	
02-S69738	November 20, 2001	May 1, 2002	Ameritech
02-70390	March 15, 2002	June 26, 2002	AT&T
	,		
02-S73491	February 26, 2002	July 31, 2002	AT&T